Feb. 18, 1964

Jerry:

After a full day of digging into recent events of the co mission, it seems to me very essential that before hearing more testimony, an hour or so be taken by commssion members to discuss in general what has transpired so far, and what is the logical way to proceed:

1) Undoubtedly the staff is working very diligently to follow up loose eneds and open questions aroused by the testimony thus far, but I think it is proper for you to tell Mr. Rankin what additional questions any of you want the staff to follow up.

2) Secondly, I think it is important to have a pre-view of who is going to come before the commission when and why. I assume all important witnesses are scheduled but if one knew in what order one could gather the questions one wishes to act on.

3) There was talk at one time of letting members concentrate on certain areas. Whether this is a good idea or not, I don't know but to the best of my knowledge nothing of this nature has been developed. You will note that your attendance record is about tops of the group, but others can hardly be blamed if the schedule is up in the air. Some can't help absences in any case.

4) There was the rather involved session regarding the Nation article and Mr. Wades thoughts. Not much has been done to follow this up as far as the commission is concerned except the one affadavit (which although important) is not conclusive of the facts.

5) Becaus mobbyr Oswald has brought up a somewhat similar thesis, this is one of the most important allegations to be disposed of in the most factual and convincing matter. Isn't it timet to find out more about Mr. Rudkins and Feldman's article in the Nation.

These are just a few of the items I would think should be discussed in a sound way.

Copy of material at the Gerald R. Ford Library